

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/912,112	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rudy Zervigon	<b>Art Unit</b> 1763	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Keith Tacket.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2006

**Time:** 10:30

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All pending*

Claims discussed:

*All pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*I called Mr. Tacket on the 3<sup>rd</sup> to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Tacket returned my phone call the following day confirming Applicant's intentions not to pursue the prosecution.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)